Disc drive apparatus

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

   The present invention relates in general to a disc drive apparatus for writing/reading information into/from a storage disc. Although the gist of the invention also applies to magnetic discs, the present invention specifically applies to optical discs, for which

5 reason the invention will hereinafter be described for optical discs, while the corresponding disc drive apparatus will also be indicated as "optical disc drive".

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

   As is commonly known, an optical storage disc comprises at least one track,

10 either in the form of a continuous spiral or in the form of multiple concentric circles, of storage space where information may be stored in the form of a data pattern. Optical discs may be read-only type, where information is recorded during manufacturing, which information can only be read by a user. The optical storage disc may also be a writeable type, where information may be stored by a user. For writing information in the storage space of

15 the optical storage disc, or for reading information from the disc, an optical disc drive comprises, on the one hand, rotating means for receiving and rotating an optical disc, and on the other hand optical means for generating an optical beam, typically a laser beam, and for scanning the storage track with said laser beam. Since the technology of optical discs in general, the way in which information can be stored in an optical disc, and the way in which

20 optical data can be read from an optical disc, is commonly known, it is not necessary here to describe this technology in more detail.

   For rotating the optical disc, an optical disc drive typically comprises a motor, which drives a hub engaging a central portion of the optical disc. Usually, the motor is implemented as a spindle motor, and the motor-driven hub may be arranged directly on the

25 spindle axle of the motor.

   For optically scanning the rotating disc, an optical disc drive comprises a light beam generator device (typically a laser diode), an objective lens for focussing the light beam in a focal spot on the disc, and an optical detector for receiving the reflected light reflected from the disc and for generating an electrical detector output signal. The optical detector

comprises multiple detector segments, each segment providing an individual segment output signal.

During operation, the light beam should remain focussed on the disc. To this end, the objective lens is arranged axially displaceable, and the optical disc drive comprises focal actuator means for controlling the axial position of the objective lens. Further, the focal spot should remain aligned with a track or should be capable of being positioned with respect to a new track. To this end, at least the objective lens is mounted radially displaceable, and the optical disc drive comprises radial actuator means for controlling the radial position of the objective lens.

In many disc drives, the objective lens is arranged tiltably, and such optical disc drive comprises tilt actuator means for controlling the tilt angle of the objective lens.

For controlling these actuators, the optical disc drive comprises a controller, which receives an output signal from the optical detector. From this signal, hereinafter also referred to as read signal, the controller derives one or more error signals, such as for instance a focus error signal, a radial error signal, and, on the basis of these error signals, the controller generates actuator control signals for controlling the actuators such as to reduce or eliminate position errors.

In the process of generating actuator control signals, the controller shows a certain control characteristic. Such control characteristic is a feature of the controller, which may be described as the way in which the controller behaves as reaction to detecting position errors.

Position errors may, in practice, be caused by different types of disturbances. The two most important classes of disturbances are:

1)   disc defects

2)   external shocks and (periodic) vibration

The first category comprises internal disc defects like black dots, pollution like fingerprints, damage like scratches, etc. The second category comprises shocks caused by an object colliding to the disc drive, but shocks and vibrations are mainly to be expected in portable disc drives and automobile applications.

A problem in this respect is that adequately handling disturbances of the first category requires a different control characteristic than adequately handling disturbances of the second category. Conventionally, the controller of a disc drive has a fixed control characteristic, which is either specifically adapted for adequately handling disturbances of the first category (in which case error control is not optimal in the case of disturbances of the

3

second category) or specifically adapted for adequately handling disturbances of the second
category (in which case error control is not optimal in the case of disturbances of the first
category), or the control characteristic is a compromise (in which case error control is not
optimal in the case of disturbances of the first category as well as in the case of disturbances

5   of the second category). As long as a controller applies linear control technique, there is
always a compromise between low-frequency disturbance rejection and high-frequency
sensitivity to noise.

        In the state of the art, it has already been proposed to change the control
characteristic of the controller, depending on the type of disturbance experienced. For

10   instance, reference is made to US patent 4.722.079, which discloses a disc drive apparatus
where the gain of the controller is adapted.

        Apart from determining type of disturbance, and adapting the control
characteristics in relation to the type of disturbance, it is possible to perform a quantitative
assessment of the disturbance, and to adapt the control characteristics in relation to the

15   severity of the disturbance. For instance, in the case of a mechanical shock, not only may the
controller gain be increased, but the amount of increase may depend on the strength of the
shock. The higher the controller gain, the better the drive can resist a strong mechanical
shock. Thus, the performance of the drive in the case of strong mechanical shocks depends
on the maximum gain increase possible.

20   A general problem in this respect is that the gain can not be increased
unlimitedly; if the gain is set too high, the control loop of the controller may get unstable.
Thus, a general objective of the present invention is to provide a method for increasing the
controller gain further without increasing the risk of instability of the controller.

        Further, it is an objective of the present invention to provide a method for

25   dynamically amending a controller characteristic such as to reduce the risk of instability of
the controller.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

        According to an important aspect of the present invention, the gain increase in

30   the case of a shock not only depends on the strength of the shock but also depends on the
characteristic frequency of the shock. If the shock has a relatively low or relatively high
associated frequency, the gain is increased to a relatively large extent. If the shock has an
associated frequency in a predetermined frequency range associated with instability risks, the

4

gain is increased to a relatively small extent. In fact, in said predetermined frequency range,
the gain may be kept constant, or may even be reduced.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5   These and other aspects, features and advantages of the present invention will
be further explained by the following description with reference to the drawings, in which
same reference numerals indicate same or similar parts, and in which:
           Figure 1A schematically illustrates relevant components of an optical disc
drive apparatus;

10   Figure 1B schematically illustrates an embodiment of an optical detector in
more detail;
           Figure 2A is a block diagram, schematically illustrating a tracking control
loop;
           Figure 2B is a block diagram of a replacement circuit for an amplifier;

15   Figure 2C is a graph showing a Nyquist plot of the overall transfer function of
a closed loop without the invention being implemented;
           Figure 3A is a block diagram, schematically illustrating a tracking control loop
according to the present invention;
           Figure 3B is a graph illustrating a possible frequency characteristic of a

20   dynamic filter suitable for use in implementing the present invention;
           Figure 3C is a graph schematically illustrating variable gain behaviour;
           Figure 3D is a graph showing a Nyquist plot of the overall transfer function of
a closed loop corresponding to the control loop of figure 3A.

25   DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

           Figure 1A schematically illustrates an optical disc drive apparatus 1, suitable
for storing information on or reading information from an optical disc 2, typically a DVD or
a CD. For rotating the disc 2, the disc drive apparatus 1 comprises a motor 4 fixed to a frame
(not shown for sake of simplicity), defining a rotation axis 5.

30   The disc drive apparatus 1 further comprises an optical system 30 for scanning
tracks (not shown) of the disc 2 by an optical beam. More specifically, in the exemplary
arrangement illustrated in figure 1A, the optical system 30 comprises a light beam generating
means 31, typically a laser such as a laser diode, arranged to generate a light beam 32. In the

5

following, different sections of the light beam 32, following an optical path 39, will be indicated by a character a, b, c, etc added to the reference numeral 32.

The light beam 32 passes a beam splitter 33, a collimator lens 37 and an objective lens 34 to reach (beam 32b) the disc 2. The objective lens 34 is designed to focus

5   the light beam 32b in a focal spot F on a recording layer (not shown for sake of simplicity) of the disc. The light beam 32b reflects from the disc 2 (reflected light beam 32c) and passes the objective lens 34, the collimator lens 37, and the beam splitter 33, to reach (beam 32d) an optical detector 35. In the case illustrated, an optical element 38 such as for instance a prism is interposed between the beam splitter 33 and the optical detector 35.

10 The disc drive apparatus 1 further comprises an actuator system 50, which comprises a radial actuator 51 for radially displacing the objective lens 34 with respect to the disc 2. Since radial actuators are known per se, while the present invention does not relate to the design and functioning of such radial actuator, it is not necessary here to discuss the design and functioning of a radial actuator in great detail.

15 For achieving and maintaining a correct focusing, exactly on the desired location of the disc 2, said objective lens 34 is mounted axially displaceable, while further the actuator system 50 also comprises a focal actuator 52 arranged for axially displacing the objective lens 34 with respect to the disc 2. Since focal actuators are known per se, while further the design and operation of such focal actuator is no subject of the present invention,

20 it is not necessary here to discuss the design and operation of such focal actuator in great detail.

For achieving and maintaining a correct tilt position of the objective lens 34, the objective lens 34 is mounted pivotably, while further the actuator system 50 also comprises a tilt actuator 53 arranged for pivoting the objective lens 34 with respect to the disc

25 2. Since tilt actuators are known per se, while further the design and operation of such tilt actuator is no subject of the present invention, it is not necessary here to discuss the design and operation of such tilt actuator in great detail.

It is further noted that means for supporting the objective lens with respect to an apparatus frame, and means for axially and radially displacing the objective lens, as well

30 as means for pivoting the objective lens, are generally known per se. Since the design and operation of such supporting and displacing means are no subject of the present invention, it is not necessary here to discuss their design and operation in great detail.

It is further noted that the radial actuator 51, the focal actuator 52 and the tilt actuator 53 may be implemented as one integrated actuator.

6

The disc drive apparatus 1 further comprises a control circuit 90 having a first output 92 connected to a control input of the motor 4, having a second output 93 coupled to a control input of the radial actuator 51, having a third output 94 coupled to a control input of the focal actuator 52, and having a fourth output 95 coupled to a control input of the tilt actuator 53. The control circuit 90 is designed to generate at its first output 92 a control signal S_{CM} for controlling the motor 4, to generate at its second control output 93 a control signal S_{CR} for controlling the radial actuator 51, to generate at its third output 94 a control signal S_{CF} for controlling the focal actuator 52, and to generate at its fourth output 95 a control signal S_{CT} for controlling the tilt actuator 53.

The control circuit 90 further has a read signal input 91 for receiving a read signal S_R from the optical detector 35.

Figure 1B illustrates that the optical detector 35 comprises a plurality of detector segments, in this case four detector segments 35a, 35b, 35c, 35d, capable of providing individual detector signals A, B, C, D, respectively, indicating the amount of light incident on each of the four detector segments, respectively. The detector segments 35a, 35b, 35c, 35d, also indicated as central aperture detector segments, are arranged in a four-quadrant configuration. A centre line 36, separating the first and fourth segments 35a and 35d from the second and third segments 35b and 35c, has a direction corresponding to the track direction. Since such four-segment detector is commonly known per se, it is not necessary here to give a more detailed description of its design and functioning.

Figure 1B also illustrates that the read signal input 91 of the control circuit 90 actually comprises a plurality of inputs for receiving all individual detector signals. Thus, in the illustrated case of a four-quadrant detector, the read signal input 91 of the control circuit 90 actually comprises four inputs 91a, 91b, 91c, 91d for receiving said individual detector signals A, B, C, D, respectively. The control circuit 90 is designed to process said individual detector signals A, B, C, D, in order to derive data and control information therefrom, as will be clear to a person skilled in the art. For instance, a normalized radial error signal REn can be defined according to

$$REn = \frac{(A+D)-(B+C)}{A+B+C+D} \tag{1}$$

Further, a normalized focus error signal FEn can be defined according to

$$FEn = \left(\frac{A-D}{A+D}\right) - \left(\frac{B-C}{B+C}\right) \tag{2}$$

These signals REn and FEn each are a measure for a certain kind of asymmetry of the central optical spot on the detector 35, and hence are sensitive to displacement of the optical scanning spot with respect to the disc.

It is noted that, depending on the design of the optical detector, different definitions for error signals may be used.

In the following, the present invention will be explained specifically for the case of controlling the radial actuator 51, but it should be clear that the same, or at least a similar, explanation applies in the case of focus control, tilt control, etc.

Figure 2A is a simplified block diagram, schematically illustrating a tracking control loop 100. The control circuit 90 generates a control signal S_{CR} for the radial actuator 51, which causes a displacement of the lens 34. A transfer function of the radial actuator 51, representing the relationship between control signal S_{CR} and resulting actuator force, is indicated as A(s).

A transfer function of the lens 34, representing the relationship between actuator force and resulting lens displacement, is indicated as H(s); it is noted that, in a simplified model, H may be written as

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{ms^2}$$

wherein m indicates the mass of the lens 34.

The displacement of the lens 34 causes a change in the optical beam position, which is detected by the detector 35, resulting in a change of the optical read signal S_R. An error signal calculator 96 of the control circuit 90 calculates the radial error signal REn from the optical read signal S_R. A transfer function of the combination of detector 35 and error signal calculator 96, representing the relationship between lens displacement and radial error signal REn, is indicated as B(s). It is noted that the transfer (gain) of error signal calculator 96 is equal to 1, per definition, since this circuit only calculates a relative positional error from the absolute beam and track positions.

A control signal generator part 98 of the control circuit 90, for instance a PID controller, generates the control signal S_{CR} on the basis of the radial error signal REn. A transfer function of the control signal generator part 98, representing the relationship between radial error signal REn and control signal S_{CR}, is indicated as C(s).

It is assumed that all of said transfer functions are fixed.

For allowing variable control characteristics, the control circuit 90 comprises an amplifier 99 with variable gain γ, in this example arranged between the error signal calculator 96 and the control signal generator part 98. The gain γ can be written as $\gamma = \gamma_C + \gamma_V$, wherein γ_C indicates a constant part of the gain while γ_V indicates a variable part of the

5   gain. Fig.2B is a block diagram of a replacement circuit for the amplifier 99, showing the amplifier 99 as a parallel combination of a constant amplifier 99A having constant gain γ_C and a variable amplifier 99B having variable gain γ_V.

The design of the control circuit 90 should be such that the system is stable in the linear situation, i.e. when $\gamma_V = 0$. In this linear situation, a closed loop transfer function

10   G(s) can be written as

$$G(s) = \frac{X(s)}{1 + \gamma_c \cdot X(s)}$$

wherein X(s) = C(s)·A(s)·H(s)·B(s).

As will be clear to persons skilled in the art, this closed loop transfer function G(s) describes the transfer of a small disturbance at the input of control signal generator 98 to

15   the output of error signal calculator 96 (or the output of detector (35), in a case when the servo loops are in operation.

Figure 2C is a graph showing a Nyquist plot, indicated by reference numeral 101, of the frequency response of an exemplary closed loop transfer function G(s) of the control loop 100. The horizontal axis represents the real part $Re(G(j\omega))$, while the vertical

20   axis represents the imaginary part $Im(G(j\omega))$. The upper-right end of the curve 101 corresponds to $\omega=0$, while the upper-left end of the curve 101 corresponds to $\omega=\infty$.

The control circuit 90 is capable of detecting shock, and to adapt its control characteristics when a shock situation is detected. More particularly, the control circuit 90 is designed to increase γ_V in the case of a shock being detected, wherein the magnitude of the

25   gain increase depends on the magnitude of the shock experienced. It is noted that control circuits employing shock detection and amending their gain in response are known per se; therefore, it is not necessary here to discuss this aspect in more detail. Particularly, the method of shock detection is not important in this respect, since the present invention can be implemented in conjunction with any kind of shock detection method, although methods are

30   preferred which allow a quantitative shock magnitude detection.

In Figure 2C, a critical point CP, indicated at 103, is defined as the point of the closed loop transfer function G(s) where the real part $Re(G(j\omega))$ has the lowest value R_{MIN}.

9

The frequency corresponding to this critical point CP will be indicated as critical frequency ω_{CP}. As will be clear to a person skilled in the art, the value of R_{MIN} determines a maximum for γ_V: the lower R_{MIN} (i.e. the higher $|R_{MIN}|$), the lower the maximum for γ_V can be. If γ_V is above this maximum when a shock occurs having a frequency in the range of the critical

5   frequency ω_{CP}, the system may get unstable.

Figure 3A is a block diagram, comparable to figure 2A, schematically illustrating a radial control loop 200 in which the present invention is implemented. In this case, a control circuit 290 comprises an additional dynamic filter 297, which is shown as being arranged before the input of the variable amplifier 299B part of amplifier 299. The

10   filter action can be considered as introducing a frequency-dependent attenuation F(s); the new closed loop transfer function G'(s) can be written as G'(s) = G(s)·F(s).

The dynamic filter 297 is designed to selectively suppress frequencies in the range of the critical frequency ω_{CP}. Suitably, the dynamic filter 297 is designed as a band-reject filter or notch filter, having a central frequency ω_0 approximately equal to the critical

15   frequency ω_{CP}, as illustrated in figure 3B. It is noted that the filter 297 might also be designed as a low-pass filter.

Figure 3C is a graph, schematically illustrating the variable gain behaviour of variable amplifier part 299B according to the present invention. The horizontal axis represents the magnitude (arbitrary units) of a signal S_{IN} received at the input of variable

20   amplifier part 299B, the vertical axis represents the resulting gain γ_V (arbitrary units). For small signals, having a magnitude below a threshold R_T, the variable gain γ_V remains equal to zero. Only if the signal magnitude is above said threshold R_T, the variable gain γ_V is above zero. In principle, it is possible that the variable gain γ_V is switched between zero and a constant high value, but preferably, as illustrated, the variable gain γ_V increases

25   proportionally with the signal magnitude, although this does not need to involve a linear relationship.

The operation of control circuit 290 is as follows. The optical read signal S_R is monitored and processed to detect shocks. Alternatively, a separate shock detector may be provided, for instance a mechanical shock sensor, but this is not shown in figure 3A. As long

30   as no shocks are experienced, or for relatively small errors, the variable gain $\gamma_V = 0$, so that the gain $\gamma = \gamma_C$, independent of the frequency of these errors.

10

For larger errors, having a signal magnitude above said threshold R_T, the variable gain is increased if the error frequency is outside the reject range of the filter 297. If the error frequency is within the reject range of the filter 297, the input signal S_{IN} of the variable amplifier part 299B is lower than the error signal magnitude, so that the gain

5   increase is reduced. Close to the central frequency ω_0 of the filter 297, the suppression will be such that the input signal S_{IN} of the variable amplifier part 299B is lower than said threshold R_T, so that the variable gain γ_V remains equal to zero for such frequencies.

Figure 3D is a graph, comparable to figure 2C, showing a Nyquist plot of the new closed loop frequency response G'(s) of the control loop 200, indicated by reference

10   numeral 201, for an example where the filter 297 is a notch filter. For easy reference, original curve 101 of original closed loop transfer function G(s) is also shown. Original curve 101 may be regarded as illustrating the response of the inventive control loop 200 for the case of small radial errors, whereas curve 201 illustrates the response of the inventive control loop 200 for the case of large error magnitudes. The effect of the filter 297 can easily be

15   recognized. In effect, the filter 297 shapes the closed loop frequency response such that response at the critical frequency ω_{CP} is lower than the response at other frequencies.

Original curve 101 may also be regarded as illustrating the response of a control loop without the filter 297 (which is equivalent to the inventive control loop 200 with the filter 297 switched off), for the case of large error magnitudes and for a certain value of

20   the constant gain γ_C, whereas curve 201 illustrates the response of the inventive control loop 200 for the case of the same error magnitudes and the same value of the constant gain γ_C. In the case of prior art control loop 100 (or inventive control loop 200 with the filter 297 switched off), these error magnitudes lead to a variable gain γ_V setting which may be the same for all frequencies, resulting in curve 101. In the case of the inventive control loop 200

25   (i.e. with the filter 297 switched on), the same error magnitudes lead to a variable gain γ_V setting which is relatively low around the critical frequency ω_{CP}. Thus, the effect of the filter 297 is that the absolute value of R_{MIN} is reduced. Consequently, the allowable maximum for the variable gain γ_V is increased.

It is noted that the exact value of the central frequency ω_0 of the notch filter

30   297 depends on the critical frequency ω_{CP} of the control loop 200, i.e. the frequency where the transfer function G'(s) would have its minimum R_{MIN} with the filter 297 switched off (i.e.

with the filter transfer function being equal to 1 for all frequencies). Typically, the design is such that the critical frequency ω_{CP} of the control loop 200 is relatively high, i.e. typically above 2000 Hz, which is well above the frequency range corresponding to mechanical shocks, so that the overall frequency response in the frequency range corresponding to
5   mechanical shocks is substantially undisturbed.

In the example discussed, filter 297 is a notch filter. As an alternative, it is possible to use a low-pass filter, having its cut-off frequency well above the frequency range where shocks are to be expected. Since shocks typically have frequencies below 200 Hz, an adequate choice for such cut-off frequency is in a range above 2000 Hz. Also, an adequate
10   choice for such cut-off frequency is approximately equal to the original critical frequency ω_{CP}. However, since the critical point CP should be displaced to the right as much as possible, the cut-off frequency is preferably chosen below the the original critical frequency ω_{CP}.

In the case of the filter 297 being a notch filter, an adequate design choice
15   would be to choose the central frequency ω_0 of the notch filter to be equal to the frequency corresponding with original critical point CP. However, in an ideal case as illustrated in figure 3D, the central frequency ω_0 of the notch filter is chosen such that the closed loop transfer function G'(s) has two critical points CP1 and CP2 , i.e. the lowest value R_{MIN} for Re(G') is obtained for two frequencies ω_1 and ω_2, one below ω_0 and one above ω_0.

20   It should be clear to a person skilled in the art that the present invention is not limited to the exemplary embodiments discussed above, but that several variations and modifications are possible within the protective scope of the invention as defined in the appending claims.

For instance, it is possible that the filter 297 and the amplifier 299 are
25   integrated into one signal processing component.

In the above, the present invention has been explained with reference to block diagrams, which illustrate functional blocks of the device according to the present invention. It is to be understood that one or more of these functional blocks may be implemented in hardware, where the function of such functional block is performed by individual hardware
30   components, but it is also possible that one or more of these functional blocks are implemented in software, so that the function of such functional block is performed by one or more program lines of a computer program or a programmable device such as a microprocessor, microcontroller, etc.